

# In the United States Court of Federal Claims

## OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS

No. 18-1079V

UNPUBLISHED

AMANDA DALLABETTA,

Petitioner,

v.

SECRETARY OF HEALTH AND  
HUMAN SERVICES,

Respondent.

Chief Special Master Corcoran

Filed: January 30, 2020

Special Processing Unit (SPU);  
Damages Decision Based on Proffer;  
Influenza (Flu) Vaccine; Bursitis

*Shealene Priscilla Mancuso, Muller Brazil, LLP, Dresher, PA, for petitioner.*

*Adriana Ruth Teitel, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC, for respondent.*

### **DECISION AWARDING DAMAGES<sup>1</sup>**

On July 24, 2018, petitioner filed a petition for compensation under the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program, 42 U.S.C. §300aa-10, et seq.,<sup>2</sup> (the “Vaccine Act”). Petitioner alleges that she suffered a left shoulder injury as a result of an influenza vaccination received on September 19, 2016. Petition at 1, 4. The case was assigned to the Special Processing Unit of the Office of Special Masters.

On August 30, 2019, a ruling on entitlement was issued, finding Petitioner entitled to compensation for bursitis. On January 29, 2020, Respondent filed a proffer on award of compensation (“Proffer”) indicating Petitioner should be awarded \$117,939.22 (comprised of \$117,500.00 for pain and suffering and \$439.22 for past lost wages). Proffer at 1. In the Proffer, Respondent represented that Petitioner agrees

<sup>1</sup> Because this unpublished decision contains a reasoned explanation for the action in this case, I am required to post it on the United States Court of Federal Claims' website in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002. 44 U.S.C. § 3501 note (2012) (Federal Management and Promotion of Electronic Government Services). **This means the decision will be available to anyone with access to the internet.** In accordance with Vaccine Rule 18(b), Petitioner has 14 days to identify and move to redact medical or other information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of privacy. If, upon review, I agree that the identified material fits within this definition, I will redact such material from public access.

<sup>2</sup> National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986, Pub. L. No. 99-660, 100 Stat. 3755. Hereinafter, for ease of citation, all “§” references to the Vaccine Act will be to the pertinent subparagraph of 42 U.S.C. § 300aa (2012).

with the proffered award. *Id.* Based on the record as a whole, I find that Petitioner is entitled to an award as stated in the Proffer.

Pursuant to the terms stated in the attached Proffer, **I award Petitioner a lump sum payment of \$117,939.22 (comprised of \$117,500.00 for pain and suffering and \$439.22 for past lost wages) in the form of a check payable to Petitioner.** This amount represents compensation for all damages that would be available under § 15(a).

The clerk of the court is directed to enter judgment in accordance with this decision.<sup>3</sup>

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

s/Brian H. Corcoran

Brian H. Corcoran  
Chief Special Master

---

<sup>3</sup> Pursuant to Vaccine Rule 11(a), entry of judgment can be expedited by the parties' joint filing of notice renouncing the right to seek review.

**IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF FEDERAL CLAIMS  
OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS**

AMANDA DALLABETTA,

Petitioner,

v.

SECRETARY OF HEALTH AND  
HUMAN SERVICES,

Respondent.

No. 18-1079V  
Chief Special Master Corcoran (SPU)  
ECF

**RESPONDENT'S PROFFER ON AWARD OF COMPENSATION**

**I. Compensation for Vaccine Injury-Related Items**

On August 30, 2019, respondent filed a Rule 4(c) Report conceding that petitioner is entitled to vaccine compensation for the left-sided bursitis experienced following receipt of an influenza vaccination on September 19, 2016. The same day, August 30, 2019, a Ruling on Entitlement, adopting respondent's recommendation, was issued.

Based upon the evidence of record, respondent proffers that petitioner<sup>1</sup> should be awarded \$117,939.22. This is comprised of pain and suffering (\$117,500.00) and past lost wages (\$439.22), and represents all elements of compensation to which petitioner would be entitled under 42 U.S.C. § 300aa-15(a).<sup>2</sup> Petitioner agrees.

---

<sup>1</sup> Petitioner is a competent adult, therefore evidence of guardianship is not required in this case.

<sup>2</sup> Should Petitioner die prior to entry of judgment, the parties reserve the right to move the Court for appropriate relief.

## II. Form of the Award

Respondent recommends that the compensation provided to petitioner should be made through:

a lump sum of **\$117,939.22** in the form of a check payable to petitioner. This amount represents compensation for all damages that would be available under 42 U.S.C. § 300aa-15(a).

Petitioner agrees.

Respectfully submitted,

JOSEPH H. HUNT  
Assistant Attorney General

C. SALVATORE D'ALESSIO  
Acting Director  
Torts Branch, Civil Division

CATHARINE E. REEVES  
Deputy Director  
Torts Branch, Civil Division

HEATHER L. PEARLMAN  
Assistant Director  
Torts Branch, Civil Division

*/s/ Adriana Teitel*  
ADRIANA TEITEL  
Trial Attorney  
Torts Branch, Civil Division  
U.S. Department of Justice  
P.O. Box 146, Benjamin Franklin Station  
Washington, DC 20044-0146  
Tel: (202) 616-3677

Dated: January 29, 2020